

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/847,637	NAPARSTEK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jessica H. Roark	1644	

All Participants:

Status of Application: after final

(1) Jessica H. Roark.

(3) _____.

(2) Janis K. Fraser.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 January 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 112-1 with respect to vaccine, intended use and methods of predicting susceptibility.

Claims discussed:
 7, 13, 15-16, 23, 36-42, 49161 and 73-78

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
 See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed potential 112-1 enablement issues regarding above noted claims in view of predictability of animal model used in specification. Applicant indicated would consider providing claims which did not raise issue of whether animal model was predictive. .